

Circuit:	Silverstone National	Date:	20-04-2025
From:	Andy Stevens (87966)	Clerk of the Course	
То:	Jonathon Hadfield	Competition No:	42
Race Title:	Pickup Truck Championship - Heat 2	ASN:	Motorsport UK
Time of Issue:	15:08	Licence No:	225879

Following investigations, I find that you are guilty of contravening the following Motorsport UK NCR(s):

Ch.12 App.7 Art.1.8 Causing a collision, repetition of serious mistakes or the appearance of a lack of control over the car (such as leaving the track) will be reported to the Clerk of Course and may entail the imposition of penalties up to and including the disqualification of any driver concerned.

Brief Details:

During Heat 2 Pick-ups car 42 was reported from marshal points of being involved in 4 separate contact incident. I reviewed all 4 from viewing the incar footage from both cars involved and talking at length to the driver of car 42.

Incident 1. This was on the first corner with contact reported between cars 30 and 42. On viewing in car footage of 42 i could see that there were 3 cars abreast, 30, 42 in middle and 93 on inmside. I am satisfied that none of the drivers were wholly or predominately to blame for this instance. Incident 2. At Brooklands corner on first lap, car 30 was on drivers left, but from the footage i was satisified was always at least 50% alongside side along the precending straight and into the corner. There was contact between the cars with 42 on outside and failing to give sufficient space for car 30 on inside. Car 42 ran wide and off track. On rejoining he made contact into the side of 30. Both cars continued. 42 said there was contact both before and after the contact which he said should be taken into consideration. I am satisified that car 42 was wholly tresponsible for the contact by leaving track and rejoining making contact.

Incident 3. Car 42 was reported as making contact with 93. On viewing both the on boards from both 42 and 93 i could see that car 93 had a significant overlap inside 42 at the turn in point for Brooklands. The contact continued repeated between Brooklands and Luffield. The driver of 42 claims that the driver on 93 "divebombed" him and thus the contact was his, rather than 42's fault. Having looked throughout and listened carefully to what the driver of 42 said, i am satisfied that he was predominately responsible for the contact.

Incident 4. Car 42 was again reported as contact with 93 at Brooklands. Again i viewed the footage from both cars and could see that 93 was wholly alongside 42 at the turn in point. Of all 4 instances this was the most minor of the contact. The driver of 42 believed that he gave enough room. Whilst i could see he left more room than the previous instance, i do not agree it was sufficient room, and thus find 42 predominately responsibly for this contact.

In considering the penalty i have taken into account everything the driver of 42 said, and reviewed the in car footage from all cars involved. \$2 stated i should also take into account the evidence of any other vehicle that was behind him. I am satisified that the evidence i reviewed, and hearing from 42 gave me sufficient evidence, on the balance of probabilities to prove the offence. I should also say, that if the only was incidents 2 and 3 where considered, these along would led to the same penalty.

Decision issued verbally at 14:50

Evidence Relied Upon:

In car footage from cars 42, 30 and 93. Verbal reports from marshal points. Verbal evidence from driver of 42.

Accordingly, under Motorsport UK NCR Ch.5a App.5 Art.2.1, I hereby order that you receive the following:

Disqualified from the results of the race Number of Licence Penalty Points: 4

Additional Comments: In addition, the matter is reported to championship for yellow card system.

You are reminded of your right of appeal. Any penalties applied will be recorded by Motorsport UK in accordance with NCR Ch.5a App.5 Art.2.3

Signed: Clerk of the Course	Andy Stevens (87966)	Date: Time:	20-04-2025 15:08
	•		

I being the Entrant / Driver of Car No: 42 acknowledge receipt of the above decision

Judicial Decision forms may be sent electronically (email, WhatsApp etc.) to the recipient. For judicial and appeals purposes the time of issue will be deemed to be EITHER the time the decision was sent to the recipient, OR the time the decision was posted on the official notice board (including virtual notice board), whichever is earlier. If the recipient is informed verbally, the time commences at the time notified verbally. NCR Ch.2 App.4 Art.1.23 applies